

"Minutes are not official until approved by their respective board."

TOWN OF FALLSBURG PLANNING BOARD MEETING

November 9th, 2017

In attendance: Arthur Rosenshein, Chairman, Irv Newmark, Stu Parsons, Michael Kirtack, Planning Board Members, Gary Tavormina, Mollie Messenger, Code Enforcement, Paula E Kay, Deputy Town Attorney, Mari Giurastante, Planner

- Arthur Rosenshein called the meeting to order at 7PM.
- October minutes approved.

PUBLIC HEARING

1. MELVIN CASTON – SBL# 29-1-5

- Tom Shultz represented.
- Tom Shultz: I have the receipts for the mail. It is a basic two lot subdivision.
- Arthur Rosenshein: I am simply pointing out that you are speaking to the public. You will speak with us later. A very brief summary.
- Tom Shultz: Okay. Simply what they are planning on doing is a 2 lot subdivision. Just making 3 acres around the existing, leaving one whole lot. At this time there are no other plans. There are several buildings on it. I do believe they have a family living in the trailer. I think the intent is to cut that out.
- Arthur Rosenshein: Any questions or comments? You don't have to be a neighbor. Okay, public hearing is closed

NEW BUSINESS:

1. JOSEPH COLLURA – SBL# 13-1-1.1/7.3/9 – Requests a lot line change to combine three parcels. Zone: R-1. Location: Hasbrouck A Rd., Loch Sheldrake.
- Joseph Collura represented.
 - Joseph Collura: I don't have a map today. I have 1 piece of property that is 5.33 acres, and I have 2 subsequent pieces of property. We have lived on the site since

1998, 5.33 acres. Somewhere in the mid-2000s I purchased 2 other pieces of property that adjoin it. It was originally part of a subdivision, it was owned by the Hazelnis family. I am trying to join them back to my properties so I can then build a garage on the property adjacent to my house property.

- Paula E Kay: This was the County's doing, essentially.
- Joe Collura: Yeah.
- Arthur Rosenshein: Yeah they changed the rules, it used to be real easy.
- Stu Parsons: Is this property here? It is added up in here?
- Joe Collura: This is right here. Correct. These are the 2 pieces right here. They are no longer owned by the person named Shoomaker. They've been owned by me since the 2000s.
- Mollie Messenger: This is just a combination that the County wouldn't allow for Joe to do because it was a prior subdivision.
- Arthur Rosenshein: Dismiss with the public hearing?
- Paula E Kay: Yes there is no need. We're combining not separating.
- Arthur Rosenshein: For the record, could you give the lot number, the tax map number?
- Paula E Kay: You want me to just read them? It's 13-1
- Joe Collura: It is 13-1-1.1 or something like that. That's the initial property. Then 7.3, and then 9 are the subsequent.
- Paula E Kay: It is 13-1-1.1 which is 5.33 acres. 13-1-7.3 and 13-1-9. Those will all be combined.
- Arthur Rosenshein: Any questions? Okay, technically would this be considered a minor subdivision?
- Paula E Kay: It's a lot combination.
- Mollie Messenger: You are abandoning the subdivision. The original subdivision. The County is just looking for you to approve.
- Arthur Rosenshein: Okay, motion to approve the combination of 3 combined lots.
 - MOTION:
 - Gary Tavormina motions to approve. Stu Parsons seconds. All in favor.
- Stu Parsons: This foundation here, the line on the right side. Is this a house there?
- Joe Collura: No it's a really old overgrown stone foundation. It sits about a foot high.

2. MELVIN CASTON – SBL# 29-1-5 – Requests a two lot sub-division. Zone: REC-2. Acres: 29.07. Location: Rosemond Rd., Woodridge.

- Tom Shultz represented.
- Stu Parsons: This foundation here, the line on the right side. Is this a house there?
- Tom Shultz: No it's a really old overgrown stone foundation. It sits about a foot high. They wanted to keep it as much of a right angle off of the road, to not keep so close to the driveway. Like I said, it's overgrown. It is hard to tell it is there.
- Stu Parsons: No structures?
- Tom Shultz: It is open, there are trees going through it.

- Arthur Rosenshein: For the record, the sewer and water, these houses are separate?
 - Tom Shultz: The well for that one is shown and the septic is in the back yard directly off the back. We believe the well is in that little addition on the side. They both have separate sewer and water.
 - Arthur Rosenshein: Okay, this doesn't take SEQR. Any further questions?
 - Mollie Messenger: We have 1 open permit for a 10 by 10 shed. It's been opened for a while. In the condition, if we can make sure that gets closed with this subdivision?
 - Paula E Kay: Before the maps are signed?
 - Mollie Messenger: Yeah we'll have to do an inspection and see what the shed was.
 - Tom Shultz: For building it? What is the permit for?
 - Mollie Messenger: They built a shed, 10 by 10. They just never closed it. Not a big deal, I just need to get it closed.
 - Gary Tavormina: That foundation, can we have a condition to have it removed so it isn't on both properties.
 - Arthur Rosenshein: It's a strong foundation. It is historical remnant.
 - Gary Tavormina: Still it will be on both pieces of properties.
 - Tom Shultz: The center is filled in, right Tom?
 - MCREP: No it's not big.
 - Arthur Rosenshein: If you want to put it in, go for it.
 - Gary Tavormina: If you eliminate the foundation, at least you know it isn't on somebody else's property.
 - Arthur Rosenshein: I am thinking of it as a stone wall.
 - Gary Tavormina: Just a suggestion.
 - Arthur Rosenshein: It's not like it was solid cement.
 - Gary Tavormina: At least it is in the minutes. If somebody else comes along and decides to put a house on that foundation, it's on someone else's property. That's all.
 - Arthur Rosenshein: Okay, you are on the record. Anybody else? Okay, with a condition that signature is pending closing a building permit, motion to give subdivision approval for lot number 2, 3.01 on Caston property?
 - MOTION:
 - Stu Parsons motions for conditional approval. Irv Newmark seconds. All in favor.
 - Tom Shultz: I'll tell them they have to get the permit closed out.
 - Mollie Messenger: Permit closed and the survey.
3. G.M. BEVERLY CORP. (BEVERLY GARDENS) – SBL# 36-1-44.2 – Requests conceptual site plan review approval for a 41 X 100 (12) unit apartment building. Zone: HR-1. Acres: 4.51. Location: Elm Dr. & Laurel Ave., So. Fallsburg.
- Applicant was not heard.

4. ALPINE ACRES – SBL# 60-1-15.1 – Requests conceptual site plan review for a 30 x 30 addition to the existing shul. Zone: REC-1. Acres: 60.08. Location: Route 42, So. Fallsburg.
- Joel Kohn and David Mendolwitz represented.
 - Joel Kohn: I believe the Board and Mollie are much more familiar with this process. This was approved originally in 2001 under the bungalow colony law. It was before the Planning Board back in 2015. There were some questions as to how many units were originally approved versus how many units were actually built. In the minutes of 2015 it was approved as 114 units. Mollie believed it was a 133 unit project, actually built. After verifying all the numbers, I'm not sure how many were approved. There were 130 buildings of which 15 buildings are duplexes. That matches all the tax records. Every unit has an SBL. Every unit building has its own building permit and CO. Mollie asked for an updated site plan to show everything done throughout the years. This is the site plan based on an April 2017 aerial. It shows all the features. There was a question on parking spaces. Under the bungalow colony law, it is required 2 spaces per unit. 131 units comes out to 262 parking spaces. They have 283 parking spaces on this development. They now want to add a 30 by 30 addition to the shul building, which they want for recreational area. That's where I came into this project, a year and a half ago where Mollie told me it was all messed up and we need to clean it up.
 - Paula E Kay: The plan you have now is a reflection of what is actually on the ground at Alpine?
 - Joel Kohn: Yes.
 - Mollie Messenger: The last time they were here, the Board told them they have to do a reflection of what's actually there. If you remember, the site plan that is filed with the Town and the attorney general's report are completely developed. That's where the hiccup is. The Board asked them to go back, they redid the whole thing, we know exactly of what is out there. Regardless of what the old site plan said, this is what is there now. So this is what we are going forward with. The table for the duplex law is on there. I believe that's what they'd be governed by at this point.
 - Joel Kohn: We have both bulk tables as you asked. Bungalow and duplex.
 - Paula E Kay: Anything proposed going forward would be under the duplex law?
 - Mollie Messenger: I think so. I don't know what we approved it as originally. It would have been some kind of bungalow cluster something.
 - Paula E Kay: I don't think it matters at this point. What matters is we now have a site plan that complies with what was built and what was approved by the attorney general. Now we can move forward.
 - Joel Kohn: It does match the actual plan that went to the attorney general.
 - Arthur Rosenshein: Do these have the 911 numbers on them?
 - Joel Kohn: yes.
 - Arthur Rosenshein: I think the first thing we have to do is accept the revision of the site plan before we can do anything else.
 - Mollie Messenger: Just to be clear, Will or Ken have not reviewed this. I believe it to be what it is. I don't know if you want to put a condition on approving it that they look at it. Ken is not on this project, he never was. Will was. I don't know if you

want to deal with that or just accept this. The layout is the same, the units are finally where they are.

- Arthur Rosenshein: I just want to accept this so we have a base map to work off of.
- Paula E Kay: We're just trying to make everything comply with what was built years ago.
- Arthur Rosenshein: Right, that's why I want a site plan revision.
- Mollie Messenger: Good.
- Arthur Rosenshein: This was a fun project under the developer I won't name.
- Gary Tavormina: They have made a lot of improvements.
- Joel Kohn: This is David Mendolwitz, he is managing the site.
- David Mendolwitz: We invested a lot of money in improving the site, when you pass by.
- Gary Tavormina: You made a nice place out of a disaster.
- Arthur Rosenshein: The motion will be to revise the site plan for Alpine Acres based on the site plan submitted dated 10-24-17.
 - MOTION:
 - Gary Tavormina motions to approve site plan revision. Irv Newmark seconded. All in favor.
- Arthur Rosenshein: The site plan is now accepted, we can go ahead with the rest. Basically you're going to be coming in for a 30 by 30 addition?
- Joel Kohn: It is shown on this plan. The question is, it is an addition to an existing building, that building is 96 feet from the road. It has a 96 front setback. This addition will be built off this building. Does this need a variance or can we build through site plan approval?
- Mollie Messenger: Did we talk about that last time we talked?
- Arthur Rosenshein: It wouldn't be an allowable extension of an existing nonconforming even if it was.
- Paula E Kay: It is conforming.
- Arthur Rosenshein: It may not be conforming to the rules of today. As Mollie said earlier, we are looking at the duplex law. I'm trying to get around that even if it is a problem.
- Paula E Kay: I could live with that.
- Arthur Rosenshein: Mollie, do you have any issues with a building 30 feet where they are trying to put it? Could we do it tonight?
- Mollie Messenger: I don't think we have any problems at Alpine. I am working to get a lot of permits closed and move along. It is clean, I don't know that the Building Department has any issues.
- Arthur Rosenshein: So we might as well do this 30 by 30 addition.
- Gary Tavormina: Is that a retention pond next to that?
- Joel Kohn: Yes.
- Gary Tavormina: What is the distance between the addition and that?
- Joel Kohn: About 20 feet.
- Arthur Rosenshein: 1 or 2 stories?

- Joel Kohn: 2 stories, same as the existing building.
- Gary Tavormina: Is this in the water and sewer district? Both?
- Joel Kohn: It is in the water and sewer?
- Gary Tavormina: Okay.
- Arthur Rosenshein: So we're looking at a 30 by 30 foot addition on the western side of the existing shul. Everything matching what is there, 2 story. Anything else we need to add?
 - MOTION:
 - Gary Tavormina motions for site plan approval. Stu Parsons seconds. All in favor.

OLD BUSINESS:

1. IGLESIA ESPIRITU PENTACOSTAL CHURCH - SBL# 52-6-23 – Requests site plan approval to sell food from parking lot of the existing church. Zone: B-1. Location: 5198 Main St., So. Fallsburg.
 - Jose Maldonado represented.
 - Jose Maldonado: We are trying to get approval to be able to sell food on this property.
 - Arthur Rosenshein: Mollie, you worked with them?
 - Mollie Messenger: I did. I think what we tried to scab together here, I printed out the aerial and the tax map. I think the Planning Board needs to see and understand which building you are trying to work through. Is it the red roof or the grey roof?
 - Jose Maldonado: This right here.
 - Mollie Messenger: The red roofed one. Essentially this is what we're looking at here. People are going to be able to walk by the sides of the church or through the church?
 - Jose Maldonado: They can come in from here.
 - Mollie Messenger: Oh from Lake Street. Whose property are they crossing?
 - Jose Maldonado: That property goes with the church. Both together.
 - Mollie Messenger: There's no right of way here.
 - Arthur Rosenshein: Whose between them and Lake Street?
 - Jose Maldonado: This? I'm not sure. That's not our property.
 - Mollie Messenger: I don't know if people should be permitted to walk this way to you. That is something the Planning Board is not permitted to allow.
 - Arthur Rosenshein: We have to deal with the permits coming in from the road.
 - Jose Maldonado: We have a little gate you could walk through here.
 - Arthur Rosenshein: A gate from 42?

- Jose Maldonado: Yeah. Basically, up here is where we live. The pastor and his family. There is a little gate you could come in from here and go back over here.
- Mollie Messenger: You're going to have a little window to pick up food here?
- Jose Maldonado: Yeah.
- Mollie Messenger: Are you going to have picnic tables or anything else in that area?
- Jose Maldonado: Like this, basically.
- Mollie Messenger: Anything outside in the summer for seating? Or just getting their food and leaving?
- Jose Maldonado: They just pick up their food. It will be given out from the window and they go.
- Mollie Messenger: Year round?
- Jose Maldonado: Only 2 days.
- Arthur Rosenshein: Which 2 days a week?
- Jose Maldonado: Friday and Saturday.
- Arthur Rosenshein: This doesn't need a special use permit?
- Mollie Messenger: It should be.
- Irv Newmark: What are the times?
- Jose Maldonado: 4 to 6. Specifically 2 hour.
- Irv Newmark: You'll need some lighting.
- Arthur Rosenshein: It's a summer use.
- Irv Newmark: Summer or all year round?
- Jose Maldonado: Year round.
- Arthur Rosenshein: You will need lighting.
- Jose Maldonado: There is lighting there.
- Paula E Kay: What are we calling it? We have restaurant and drinking establishment.
- Arthur Rosenshein: They're not eating inside.
- Irv Newmark: It's a food pickup.
- Paula E Kay: There is retail. Both retail and restaurant establishment are no need for a special use permit. It's sort of a combination of both.
- Arthur Rosenshein: We're just doing it on the site plan then.
- Paula E Kay: Right.
- Arthur Rosenshein: I don't know how we can set conditions but we will anyway. Simple conditions, it is only 2 days a week, Friday and Saturday, from 4 to 6 PM. The entrance will be from Route 42 through the gate. People walk around, that's not our business. We have to have a legal entrance.
- Mollie Messenger: There is a walking path over there.
- Gary Tavormina: Just so they know the stipulation, they're not coming up Lake Street because that is someone else's property. Unless they give you permission.
- Arthur Rosenshein: That's between you and the other land owner. Any other questions?
- Stu Parsons: Parking spots down here?
- Arthur Rosenshein: You have all of Main Street, there's a big parking lot down here

behind the bank. They can use on street parking.

- Gary Tavormina: This is the doctor's office? This is Steingart's?
- Mollie Messenger: I'm not sure.
- Arthur Rosenshein: Doesn't matter, it is still in distance of public parking. Anybody else? Motion for site plan approval?
 - MOTION:
 - Irv Newmark motions for conditional site plan approval. Gary Tavormina seconds. All in favor.
- Arthur Rosenshein: You will have to come in for signatures.

2. MEJIA AUTO SALES – SBL# 17-1-21.4 – Requests site plan approval for an auto sales lot. Zone: B-1. Acres: less than ¼ acre. Location: 1095 SR 52, Loch Sheldrake

- Carlos Mejia represented.
- Arthur Rosenshein: An updated site plan?
- Carlos Mejia: Yes.
- Arthur Rosenshein: Mollie, is the site plan sufficient for your office?
- Mollie Messenger: Did everyone get it through the email? See the new? I emailed it yesterday.
- Irv Newmark: It was on my phone, I couldn't really see it.
- Arthur Rosenshein: Luckily I printed a copy.
- Mollie Messenger: We changed the site plan approval in your packet, because we discussed that this layout is much better. As far as the number of cars we will see, it makes sense. There is travel pattern to go around and plenty of room in front. As well as customer parking in the front.
- Arthur Rosenshein: What are the size of those spaces?
- Carlos Mejia: They are approximately at least 6 by 15. That's almost the size of a pickup.
- Arthur Rosenshein: You can open the doors to get out?
- Carlos Mejia: Yeah there will be approximately 2 feet between each car.
- Stu Parsons: Can you put the double lines in to make sure you get the 2 foot distance between parking spaces?
- Carlos Mejia: For the customer parking, yeah.
- Stu Parsons: Just for in here, it is good to put the double lines in to get the space between the doors.
- Carlos Mejia: I understand you.
- Arthur Rosenshein: You're going to have minimal equipment there. You have to have a way to wash. If you are going to sell a car, you have to keep clean. Vacuums. How are you going to handle that?
- Carlos Mejia: That I keep in my garage, it is right next to my house.
- Arthur Rosenshein: Portable equipment?
- Carlos Mejia: Yes.
- Arthur Rosenshein: You're not going to put a building or anything else? Maybe in the future.

- Carlos Mejia: No not at this time. Maybe in the future, as you said.
- Arthur Rosenshein: As you grow and get larger, just remember that this site plan will be enforced. There is always temptation.
- Carlos Mejia: No temptation, I'll come back here first.
- Arthur Rosenshein: Anything more?
- Mollie Messenger: Nope, we just note the number of parking spaces we are accepting, or the minimum number of customer spaces we are accepting.
- Arthur Rosenshein: So for the record, we are accepting a total of 44 spaces, 22 on each side, and 4 customer spaces. Setback from the road at 50 feet, I believe?
- Carlos Mejia: 30 feet.
- Arthur Rosenshein: In that zone it is acceptable?
- Mollie Messenger: Yeah. Everything was adequate with the site plan.
- Arthur Rosenshein: Are you doing any paving on the entrance? Gravel? Something not grass?
- Carlos Mejia: In the future.
- Arthur Rosenshein: You have to do something because you will be tracking mud into the road.
- Carlos Mejia: There is gravel at this area here.
- Arthur Rosenshein: I don't know if we should insist on it. You should have some surface there. If you get lucky enough to have a lot of customers, it will tear the dirt up pretty well and be tracked into the road.
- Carlos Mejia: When Richard Newman had the property, he already had gravel there. Throughout the years, it ran a little thin but there is still gravel there. I can grab gravel if you want me to.
- Arthur Rosenshein: How about the Board Members?
- Stu Parsons: The guy at the tail end of Woodridge going toward Rock Hill, they took all the cars out. They put C4 down, it packs in really well. They put all the cars back on that side.
- Irv Newmark: Crusher run. It packs in harder than gravel, just where the driveway goes out to the road.
- Stu Parsons: Down through here...
- Arthur Rosenshein: Down through there isn't a concern. First of all it would be their own cars, secondly if they drive out from there they will go over gravel. That should take care of a lot of the gravel.
- Stu Parsons: I hope so. I'm not sure.
- Arthur Rosenshein: I am trying to keep it to a minimum.
- Gary Tavormina: You already have cars there, right?
- Carlos Mejia: Just about 10 more.
- Arthur Rosenshein: You are going to need an apron 17 foot wide of gravel coming in, all the way back to the customer area. You have 17, 20 foot in the back. That's all we are requiring is have some gravel on there.
- Mollie Messenger: You drew it on your plan?
- Arthur Rosenshein: Not yet.
- Mollie Messenger: The setback is 35 feet.

- Carlos Mejia: You say setback, that means?
 - Mollie Messenger: From the road to where you have a car is 35 feet.
 - Gary Tavormina: There are adjoining 2 properties?
 - Mollie Messenger: No.
 - Irv Newmark: You need to get a dump truck of real crusher run. It's grey. The real crusher run, once they spread that out, it will get hard, it will be really good.
 - Carlos Mejia: I'll write that down before I leave.
 - Arthur Rosenshein: Anything else? I just wrote the word gravel on the map with dash lines
 - Mollie Messenger: That's fine, as long as I know where you want it.
 - Arthur Rosenshein: With the provision of 90 days to put the gravel in?
 - Mollie Messenger: I would think before winter? You can probably do it soon right?
 - Carlos Mejia: Let me see, things are a little bit tight.
 - Arthur Rosenshein: There are minimum standards we have to apply.
 - Carlos Mejia: I'll make a move on it and give you a call.
 - Mollie Messenger: 90 days is February. You're not putting it in then
 - Irv Newmark: You won't be doing anything. Get a price. Even a 5 yard dump truck of real crusher run, spread it so it's a few inches thick. Drive over it. It will be good.
 - Carlos Mejia: I will call you tomorrow and let you know.
 - Arthur Rosenshein: With the condition of the graveled area on the site plan, I will entertain a motion for site plan approval.
 - MOTION:
 - Gary Tavormina motions for conditional site plan approval. Stu Parsons seconded. All in favor
3. MIRON HILLS – SBL# 60-1-70.1- Requests site plan amendment from a previously approved site plan. Zone: Rec-1. Acres: 61. Location: 113 Heiden Rd.
- Applicant not heard.
4. WOODBOURNE SUPERMARKET- SBL# 24-3-7 – Requests continued site plan review for a 7020 square foot retail supermarket. Zone: MX. Location: Main St., Woodbourne.
- Joel Kohn represented.
 - Arthur Rosenshein: I'm not sure what we can do. I would love to approve it but I believe our engineer was there today. He came up with a list of items he was concerned about. What did they do, cut that off with a chainsaw?
 - Joel Kohn: That's how it looked originally. The engineer for the project received the comments today. He is working to resolve that. It is more or less technical comments that can all be addressed with a conditional approval. We were before the ARB last week. The Board asked to show the elevation and rendering of the building. This is what the buildings will look like from 42, and this way from the river side coming from Woodbourne. The ARB approved that with the condition that

there shouldn't be any mechanical equipment on the side of the building. The comments are all technical comments that can be dealt with.

- Mollie Messenger: There are quite a few comments so we need to work through that before we can do anything. You have a lot of grading issues that may affect your building, your site plan, your loading, and what not. We need to work through all that. Mike said he has a 4 page letter from Keystone. If you can work through all that, maybe in December we can move forward.
- Arthur Rosenshein: Unfortunately, they came up with some substantial comments that have to be done. That's the only thing standing between you and it. Those comments have to be satisfied and we the Planning Board do not have that list because the comments were made today.
- Joel Kohn: I have a copy if you want to look through it and make a decision.
- Arthur Rosenshein: Can I see it? I want to know the nature of it.
- Joel Kohn: Sure.
- Stu Parsons: What is the maximum number of customers you can have in a supermarket at 1 time?
- Joel Kohn: It is hard to say what the maximum number of customers would be.
- Stu Parsons: Typically you see a sign hanging up that the maximum number is x.
- Gary Tavormina: One day it can 35, then another.
- Stu Parsons: There could be a fire hazard.
- Arthur Rosenshein: There are 20 substantial comments which may affect site plan. As much as I'd like to give conditional approval, I'm not comfortable because we really don't have a plan. There is too much change there will be substantial changes. I would love to say yes tonight, but I can't. We don't have the final final because of the comments that came up. I am sorry.
- Irv Newmark: We're not against it. You just have to work further.
- Arthur Rosenshein: It is a tough, tough site.
- Paula E Kay: It's a really question of Mike Reilly addressing.
- Joel Kohn: That's why I said, it is all technical.
- Arthur Rosenshein: They do change the site plan.
- Paula E Kay: There are some items that do need to be changed.
- Mollie Messenger: If you work through all of Ken's comments, you're going to do that in the next 3 weeks anyway. It doesn't matter if you get approval tonight or in December.
- Paula E Kay: They're not comfortable, that I know.
- Arthur Rosenshein: There are too many observations. It came up today and we are stuck.
- Mollie Messenger: You wouldn't be able to start because of the comments.
- Arthur Rosenshein: Don't they have some site work? Cleaning up?
- Mollie Messenger: The site is a mess, the fence needs to come down.
- Arthur Rosenshein: There are several items that still could be done. Check with Mollie to see what is acceptable to prepare the site.
- Joel Kohn: There's not much site work that could be done.
- Mollie Messenger: All the testing and the stuff for the report. You have a lot to do.

- Paula E Kay: Do that right away.
- Arthur Rosenshein: Yeah because if we get a sign off from Ken that whatever the questions are have been solved, it will be quick at the meeting. Our engineer has to certify. Thank you for coming. One more thing for the Board members, there is a public hearing Monday, 6PM, at the Woodbourne Firehouse.
- Mollie Messenger: 4 public hearings.
- Paula E Kay: 5:30. Get there at 5:30.